# A5300/I2003/PHOENIx Trial Update

### Amita Gupta MD MHS

#### **Co-Chair of PHOENIx Feasibility Study and Main Trial**





### **Protocol Team**

- **Co-Chairs**: Gavin Churchyard, Amita Gupta, Anneke Hesseling, Susan Swindells
- Clinical Representatives: Daniel Johnson, Rohan Hazra, Elizabeth Smith
- **Clinical Trials Specialists**: Linda G. Naini, Lara Hosey
- Statisticians: Michael Hughes, Soyeon Kim
- Data Manager: Lynne Jones
- DAIDS Pharmacist: Thucuma Sise
- Pharmacologists: Kelly Dooley, Kimberly K. Scarsi
- Investigators: Richard E. Chaisson, Mark Harrington, Sharon Nachman, Sarita Shah
- Consultant Cardiologist: Richard Friedman
- **Consultant Microbiologist**: Anne-Marie Demers
- Field Representatives: Savita M. Kanade, Janet Nicotera
- Laboratory Technologists: Patricia Anthony, Christopher Lane
- Community Scientific Subcommittee Representatives: Ujwal Amar Kadam, Ronald Ssenyonga
- International Site Specialist: Akbar Shahkolahi
- Laboratory Data Manager: Adam Manzella
- Industry Representative: Jeffrey Hafkin







## **MDR TB in Household Contacts**

- Contacts of MDR TB patients have high risk of TB infection and disease
  - Vast majority of MDR TB in children arises from household transmission
- Systematic review of observational studies of contacts of drug-resistant index cases
  - 4–8% proportion with incident TB
  - 44–72% of incident TB are drug-resistant
- Risk of incident TB is greatest in first 2 years after exposure
- Survey of 35 countries found only 11 had policies and only 3 made an effort to treat contacts
  - Most common reason for not having policies was lack of evidence



Shah et al. Clin Infect Dis 2014 Cain KP et al. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010



WHO 2014 Guidelines for Preventive Therapy for MDR TB Contacts *Recommendations and Research Gaps* 

- Treatment of presumptive MDR TB infection not recommended
  - Quality of evidence is seriously limited
- Recommend strict clinical observation and close monitoring for TB disease for at least two years
- Clinicians as part of sound clinical practice can consider individually tailored preventive treatment
- There remains an urgent need for trials of TB preventive therapy for HH contacts of MDR TB patients, particularly for those at high risk including HIV-infected, TST+ and young children to inform international evidence-based recommendations





# **Delamanid (DLM)**

- Novel anti-TB drug, inhibits mycolic acid synthesis and has potent bactericidal activity
- As of Jan 2016, >1500 participants, including 37 children, have been exposed to DLM
- Appears to be safe & well tolerated
- Regulatory approvals: EMA, Japan, Korea
- DLM does not induce or inhibit cytochrome P450 enzymes or common drug transporters
  - Minimal DDI potential





### A5300B/I2003B Study Hypothesis

 Treating HIV-infected and other child, adolescent and adult household contacts of MDR TB patients, including pre-XDR TB and XDR TB, who are at high risk of developing TB with delamanid will substantially reduce the risk of developing TB, compared to isoniazid



# **PHOENIx Study Design**

#### Design

 Phase III, open label, multi-center, cluster-randomized, superiority design comparing 26 weeks of DLM to 26 weeks of INH for preventing TB among high-risk household contacts of MDR TB patients

### **Primary objective**

<u>Efficacy</u> in preventing confirmed or probable active TB and <u>safety (permanent</u> discontinuation of study drug due to an AE)

#### Sample size & duration

- 90% power to detect 50% reduction in TB (5% vs. 2.5%)
- 3,452 high-risk household contacts (from 1,726 households)
- Follow-up: 96 weeks for each participating household contact
- Total study duration: 304 weeks (5.9 years)



# **Study Population**

#### Index case

- An adult (18 years and older) with pulmonary MDR TB who has started appropriate treatment within the past six months
  - Confirmed by phenotypic or genotypic drug resistance testing

#### **Household contact**

• A person who lives in the same dwelling unit and shares the same housekeeping arrangements as the index case, and who reports exposure within 6 months prior to the index case starting MDR TB treatment

#### High risk household contacts

- Newborns to children <5 years old
- Adults and children ≥5 years of age that are:
  - HIV-infected or non-HIV immunosuppressed
  - TST positive (≥5mm) and/or IGRA positive



### Planned PHOENIx Study Sites, n=27 sites in 12 countries





# **Delaminid dosing**

- Adults & children ≥30kg: DLM 200 mg daily
- Children <30kg: Weight-banded based dosing per MOP</li>
- Daily dosing for children will be based on modeled dose (Elin Svenson and colleagues at Uppsala)
- DLM dosing will be separated in time from other drugs by at least one hour
- DLM will be supplied by Otsuka as a film-coated and dispersible tablets



# Run-in-phase (Early intensive evaluation)

- All sites will enrol HH contacts of at least 10 index cases within 16 weeks and do all study procedures
- The first 10 household contacts enrolled at each site will have
  - Intensive safety and ECG monitoring
  - Adherence assessed by PK measures
- Pediatric intensive PK study at selected sites
- Data will contribute to sample size re-estimation and the main analysis



A5300/I2003/PHOENIx Preparatory Study of MDR TB Cases and Their Household Contacts: Operational Feasibility to Inform PHOENIx Trial

ACTG: Gavin Churchyard, Susan Swindells, Sarita Shah IMPAACT: Anneke Hesseling, Amita Gupta





# **Objectives**

- To describe the feasibility of identifying, recruiting, and characterizing adult MDR TB index cases and their adult and child household contacts
- To describe the prevalence of LTBI, TB disease and HIV infection among adult and child household contacts



# Methods

#### Design

• Cross-sectional study with 1 year follow-up added on as LOA

#### Sample size

• 300 adult MDR index cases and all eligible household contacts

#### **Population**

- Index Case: An adult (18 years or older) with pulmonary MDR TB as defined in the main protocol
- Household contact: Defined as in the main protocol, but <u>not limited</u> to high risk groups



### **Evaluations**

#### Site-level

- MDR TB case load
- Resource utilization survey

#### **Index Cases**

- Medical history
- Documentation of chest imaging and HIV status
- Sputum for drug-susceptibility testing

#### **Household Contacts**

- Household enumeration
- Medical history
- Documentation of HIV status (HIV testing, if unknown)
- Latent TB infection testing
- Chest x-ray
- Respiratory samples for TB diagnosis
- Knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) survey



### **PHOENIx Feasibility Study Sites**

★ 16 sites activated ★ 4 sites not activated

Median activation time: 11.7 weeks



Botswana (1) Brazil (1) Haiti (1) Kenya (1) India (2) Peru (2) South Africa (8) Tanzania (1) Thailand (2) Zimbabwe (1)



### Index & Household Contact Enrollments Total enrolled: Index cases=308 HHCs=1018





### Index & Household Contact Enrollments (29 October 2015 to 14 April 2016)

Total enrolled: Index cases=308 HHCs=1018 at 16 sites on 3 continents





160



## **MDR TB Index Case Enrollment**





# **Household Contacts Enrollment**



#### \*Total contacts enumerated is pending.



### **Participant Characteristics**

Characteristic	Index (N=308)	HHC (N=1018)
Median age, years (range)	36 (18-74)	26
Female	133 (43%)	600 (59%)*
Countries (# sites)		
Botswana (1)	10 (3%)	38 (4%)
Brazil (1)	10 (3%)	17 (2%)
Haiti (1)	16 (5%)	52 (5%)
India (2)	58 (19%)	206 (20%)
Kenya (1)	8 (3%)	12 (1%)
Peru (2)	63 (20%)	203 (20%)
South Africa (7)	133 (43%)	460 (45%)
Thailand (1)	10 (3%)	30 (3%)



\* 14 pregnant women

# Index cases, n=308

- Identified at community clinics (53%), general hospital 16%, referral to CRS (11%), at CRS (13%), other(1%)
- Enrollments: CRS (40%), hospital/clinic other than CRS (33%), household (23%), other (4%)
- Documentation of MDR TB based on testing outside the study
  - All 308 rifampin resistance
  - But only 232 (75%) had BOTH RIF and INH resistance documented
    - 13 had RIF R and INH susceptibility
    - 63 had no INH testing documented (presumed MDR based on RIF R only)
    - 4 sites in south Africa, 1 Botswana, 1 India, 1 Kenya
  - THEREFORE, need to ensure both Rifampin and INH resistance is documented in main trial



### **MDR TB Index Case Characteristics**

Characteristic	Index (N=308)
HIV-infected	112 (36%)
Diabetes	25 (8%)
Current or former smoking	133 (43%)
No prior TB	147 (48%)
Chest x-ray cavitation	201 (65%)
AFB smear positive (n=211) 1+ at study enrollment with testing at CRS (n=290) Xpert positive MGIT culture positive	148 (70%) 94 (20%) 141 (51%) 75 (27%)
MDR TB treatment duration, median (range)	8.4 weeks (0-27wks)



### **Age Distribution of Household Contacts**







### **Latent TB Infection Results**

LTBI Testing	n (%)
No TST done*	300 (30%)
TST tested	705 (64%)
TST+	392 (56%)
TST-	304 (43%)
Tested but no result	9 (1%)
No IGRA done	26 (3%)
IGRA tested	973 (97%)
IGRA+	629 (65%)
IGRA-	330 (34%)
Tested but no result	14 (1%)

#### LTBI status with combined testing

LTBI	N (%)		
TST+ or IGRA+	708 (70%)		
TST- and IGRA-	272 (27%)		
Unknown	27 (3%)		

\*TST not done at 3 of 16 sites (2 Peru and 1 South Africa)



# **Yield of Contact Tracing, n=1016 contacts**

- Signs/symptoms related to TB: 23% HHCs <15 years and 24% of >=15 years
- CXR: 971 (96%) got CXR, 886 (91%) were good quality, 169 (17%) abnormal
  - 22 of 55 children <15 years with abnormal had CXR suggestive of TB
- Of 36 contacts without CXR, 16 were pregnant
- 130 (13%) prevalent TB cases from 83 of 284 households
  - 31 households had more than 1 contact with prevalent TB
  - Possible 71, 7%
  - Probable 33, 3%
  - Confirmed 26, 3% (includes 9 that were already diagnosed at time of contact tracing)
- Yield varied by site



# **Risk factors for Prevalent TB**

- Sex: Males> females diagnosed with prevalent TB 16% vs 9%
- LTBI+:
  - Higher in TST+ 16%vs 10% in all TB; 9% vs 2% in confirmed/probable TB
  - Higher in IGRA+ 14% vs 8% in all TB; 7%vs 1% in confirmed/probable TB
- Age: No difference in confirmed/probable by three age groups <5, 5-<15, 15+ years</li>
- HIV: No difference by HIV status
- High risk group: No difference by high risk group
  - 7% (7 of 102) <5years
  - 5% (3 of 63) HIV and 5+years
  - 6% (39 of 610) positive IGRA or TST



### **Potentially Eligible High Risk Household Contacts**

Characteristic	N (%)		
Ineligible (had prevalent TB or not high risk)	350 (34%)		
Potentially eligible	666 (66%)		
<5 years	66 (10%)		
≥ 5 years and HIV+	60 (9%)		
LTBI+ (TST or IGRA+)	540 (81%)		
Median # of eligible contacts per household	2 (IQR 1–3)		

**Potentially eligible children <18yo:** 66 (<5 years) +4 (>=5y&HIV+) + 160 (LTBI+) = **194 (29%)** 



### Willingness to take Preventive therapy



• HHC willingness to take preventive therapy was high (79%) with significant site-level variation

Suryavanshi, Murill et al Poster at American Thoracic Society 2017



Characteristics of MDR-TB/RR-TB household contacts (n = 741) and factors associated with their willingness to take MDR-TB preventive therapy (PT)

		Summary Statistics		Marginal Logistic Models (GEE with robust SE estimates)			
Variables	Total	Willingness to take MDR-TB PT		Simple (adjusted for site only)		Multivariable*	
	Total	Yes	No / Not sure				
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	aOR (95% CI)	p-value	aOR (95% CI)	p-value
HOUSEHOLD CONTACT LEVEL VARIABLES							
Demographics							
Not currently employed or in school	400 (54%)	309 (77%)	91 (23%)	0.73 (0.46, 1.13)	0.159	0.53 (0.30, 0.93)	0.028
TB-related Knowledge and Attitudes							
Partial knowledge-related to TB	248 (33%)	139 (56%)	109 (44%)	0.35 (0.21, 0.59)	<0.001	0.51 (0.28, 0.93)	0.027
Belief: will not die of MDR-TB without treatment	116 (16%)	41 (35%)	75 (65%)	0.25 (0.11, 0.55)	0.001	0.43 (0.17, 1.19)	0.075
Perception: family of someone with TB will not be rejected	596 (80%)	482 (81%)	114 (19%)	0.46 (0.22, 0.95)	0.035	0.56 (0.28, 1.15)	0.116
Barriers to Preventive Therapy							
Not comfortable telling family about preventive therapy	248 (33%)	145 (58%)	103 (42%)	0.34 (0.21, 0.55)	<0.001	0.40 (0.22, 0.71)	0.002
Not confident in properly taking preventive therapy	337 (45%)	196 (58%)	141 (42%)	0.12 (0.06, 0.25)	<0.001	0.13 (0.06, 0.29)	<0.001
Medical and Social History							
Known previous treatment for TB	77 (10%)	67 (87%)	10 (13%)	0.72 (0.35, 1.51)	0.389	0.46 (0.17, 1.22)	0.118
HOUSEHOLD LEVEL VARIABLES							
Household Characteristics							
No child <5 years of age in household	496 (67%)	365 (74%)	131 (26%)	0.66 (0.37, 1.19)	0.170	0.50 (0.26, 0.98)	0.044
Pregnant household contact in household	60 (8%)	43 (72%)	17 (28%)	0.43 (0.19, 0.97)	0.042	0.29 (0.10, 0.81)	0.019

Suryavanshi, Murill et al Poster at American Thoracic Society 2017



# **PHOENIx Main Trial Timeline**

- Protocol currently being revised and awaits pediatric modeled dosing (expected early July 2017)
- SIP June 8 2017
- CRFs, MOP, LPC in development
- Submit for Regulatory Review: End of july 2017
- Submit to RCC: 08/18/17
- Submit to FDA: 08/25/17
- Protocol to Sites: 08/28/17
- Regional Trainings: November 2017 onwards
- Study open to accrual 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter 2018



# **Summary**

- Enrolling MDR TB index cases and household contacts is feasible at ACTG and IMPAACT sites
- Need to confirm MDR TB as a substantial proportion of index cases only had RIF+ without any INH testing data
- More efforts and strategies needed to recruit and enroll young children
- Much learned from the feasibility study
- Anticipate opening of study in early-mid 2018



