# Frequency & Mechanisms of DTG Resistance: Lessons from P1093 and IMPAACT 2010/VESTED

Ceejay Boyce, PhD Frenkel Laboratory | Seattle Children's Research Institute

IMPAACT Therapeutics Scientific Committee Meeting 23 October 2023

# **Study Objectives (NWCS #623)**

Among women and children living with HIV-1 non-subtype B on dolutegravir (**DTG**)based ART, we aimed to:

- Assess the impact of pretreatment drug resistance (PDR) on the efficacy of DTG-ART
- Describe the emergence of DTG resistance mutations among individuals with failure
- Evaluate concordance between genotypic and phenotypic DTG resistance

# **Study Populations**

IMPAACT P1093	IMPAACT 2010/VESTED
<b>Parent Study:</b> Phase 2/3 – dose-finding, safety, and PK study of dolutegravir ( <b>DTG</b> ) in children	<b>Parent Study:</b> Phase 3 – randomized-controlled safety & efficacy trial of DTG (vs. efavirenz)-based ART in pregnant and breastfeeding women
Regimen: DTG + optimized background therapy (OBT)	Regimens: DTG + emtricitabine + tenofovir (TDF/TAF)
<ul> <li>Cohort Characteristics</li> <li>INSTI-naïve (n=181; 100%)</li> <li>4wks-2yo = ART &lt;4w or failed ART (n=54; 100%)</li> <li>2yo-17yo = failed ART (n=127; 100%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cohort Characteristics</li> <li>Pregnant, 14-28 weeks gestation</li> <li>ART- &amp; INSTI-naïve at study screening</li> <li>N=432 (1/432 took DTG prior to study entry)</li> </ul>
Locations: Botswana, Kenya, South Africa, Tanzania, Thailand, Uganda, USA, Zimbabwe	Locations: Botswana, Brazil, India, South Africa, Tanzania, Thailand, Uganda, USA, Zimbabwe
HIV subtypes: A, B, C, D, AE, F, AG	HIV subtypes: A, B, C, D, AE
<b>2º Study Design:</b> Cohort study evaluating correlates of virologic failure & DTG-resistance	<b>2º Study Design:</b> Case-control study to determine correlates of virologic failure & DTG-resistance

# Approach: Genotypic resistance by PacBio sequencing

- Specimens tested 5' LTR p17 D24 gag Study screen or enrollment Longitudinal plasma with 3870 2253 2550 p15 p51 RT p31 Int prot RNase P1093 = HIV RNA ≥400c/mL pol 2010 = HIV RNA ≥200c/mL 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 HIV pol PacBio RNA Viral RNA Reverse Region: PR 19aa - IN 270aa Extraction Transcription cDNA primer incorporates a unique molecular identifier (UMI) - UMI "erases" PCR errors & allows PCR Amplification quantification of viral templates sequenced
  - Aimed to sequence ≥100 templates/specimen

•

—

•

Bioinformatic pipeline uses Stanford HIVdb Algorithm



https://hiv\_lanl.org Schema created with Biorender

# Approach: Phenotypic resistance by single-cycle reporter assay

- DTG 50%-effective concentration (EC<sub>50</sub>) using gBlocks with participants' HIV DR sequences
- EC<sub>50</sub> fold-change between screen/entry and viremic timepoints



## Frequency of viremia / virologic failure (VF) on DTG-based ART



## PDR was not associated with VF / viremia in either cohort

- Screen/enrollment genotypes were successfully derived from 269/292 (92%) participants
  - 170/181 in P1093 (168 PacBio, 2 Sanger)
  - 99/111 in 2010 (87 PacBio, 12 Sanger)
- PDR at screen/enrollment was
  - not associated with VF/viremia in P1093
  - but low frequency PDR was associated with VF/viremia in 2010



### Major DTG-resistance mutations detected in 13 participants at VF/viremia

#### IMPAACT P1093

#### **Regimen:**

DTG + optimized background therapy (**OBT**)

### **DTG-resistance:**

- Longitudinal genotyping = 49/56 with VF/ viremia
  - PacBio n= 117 specimens
  - Sanger n= 18 specimens
- DTG-resistance = 12/49 (24.5%) with major mutations



- 7 with G118R 4 with R263K
- 3 with N155H
- 1 with Q148K
- No DTG resistance, n=27
- DTG resistance (≥20% cut-off), n=9
- DTG resistance (≥5% cut-off), n=2
- DTG resistance (≥1% cut-off), n=1

No significant difference in % DTG-resistance among those with TDF vs. ABC/ZDV in OBT: 2/11 (**18.2%**) vs 10/38 (**26.3%**); p=0.7

### IMPAACT 2010

**Regimen:** DTG + TDF/TAF + emtricitabine

### **DTG-resistance**:

- Longitudinal genotyping = all 24 "cases"
  - PacBio n= 57 specimens
  - Sanger n= 2 specimens
- DTG-resistance =1/24 (4.2%) with major mutations



Major: N155H Accessory: E138K; S147G; S230R

No DTG resistance
 DTG resistance (≥20% cut-off)

### DTG-resistance selected in year 1 (7/13=53%), 2 (3/6=50%) & 3 (3/3=100%)



Timing of DTG Resistance Emergence

### Patterns of plasma HIV RNA ≥ 400c/mL in P1093 participants who did / did not select DTG-resistance



### Pattern of plasma HIV RNA appears associated with DTG-resistance in P1093

- Compared
  - Proportion plasma HIV RNA tests "undetectable" (<40c/mL) over study period</li>
  - Participants with vs without DTG-resistance (n=49)
  - Generalized estimating equations (GEE) was used to account for repeated measures
- Found
  - DTG-resistance associated with increased suppression (p=0.043)
  - OR 2.15, 95% CI 1.02-4.52
- Suggests that intermittent adherence with low-level viremia allows selection of DTGassociated mutations



### DTG-resistance associated with lower HIV RNA at viremia in P1093

- Compared
  - Plasma HIV RNA at viremic timepoints we genotyped
  - Participants with vs without DTG-resistance (n=47\*)
  - GEE was used to account for repeated measures
- Found
  - Those with DTG-resistance had lower viral loads when viremic than those who did not have DTGresistance (p=0.0139)
    - HIV RNA 0.38 log10 lower (95% CI 0.08, 0.69)
    - Mean viremia 4,169c/mL vs 10,233c/mL
- Supports hypothesis that low-level viremia allows selection of DTG-associated mutations
- Suggests DTG-associated mutations may reduce viral replication capacity



\*Two participants had plasmas with "detectable" HIV RNA genotyped, but loads were all <400 c/mL so they were excluded from this analysis

### HIV Subtype & DTG Resistance in P1093

- Participants with VF / viremia
  - Compared % with DTGresistance by HIV-1 subtype
- Found
  - Similar rates in subtypes A, B, C, CRF01\_AE
  - Too few D, F, CRF02\_AG
- Suggests HIV-1 subtype may not have significant association with selection of DTG-resistance
  - However, need to evaluate additional participants to draw any conclusions



## Concordance observed between genotype & phenotype

Phenotypic analysis

٠

- n=13 P1093 participants

- 9 shown; Major DTG mutations
- 2 with accessory DTG mutations & 2 wild-type not shown
- Comparison of phenotypes for two most prevalent mutant codons:
  - G118R
  - R263K
  - Median FC (range)
    - G118R= 16.5 (9, 62)

• R263K=3.7 (2.5, 5)

 Phenotypic DTG-resistance consistently greater with G118R vs R263K

	Country	Subtype	Weeks of DTG	INSTI resistance mutations	RC <sup>a</sup>	DTG EC <sub>50</sub> (nM) <sup>b</sup>	FCc
	Thailand	AE	0 20	L74I T66I, L74I, <mark>G118R</mark>	95 29	1.7 ± 0.18 <mark>32 ± 10</mark> d	19
	USA	В	0 162	none E138T, S147G, <mark>R263K</mark>	67 55	2.4 ± 0.52 <b>12 ± 2.9</b>	5.0
not	South Africa	С	0 32 48 48	none L74I, <b>G118R G118R</b> T97A, <b>G118R</b>	80 35 21 17	1.7 ± 0.22 18 ± 6.0 46 ± 18 105 ± 78	11 27 62
6	Brazil	В	0 29 29 29 29 29 29	none G118R R263K G118R, R263K E92Q, N155H E92Q	101 21 48 4.0 27 47	$2.6 \pm 0.21$ $24 \pm 11$ $5.9 \pm 2.2$ $UTD^{e}$ $10 \pm 1.5$ $4.9 \pm 0.48$	9.2 2.3 UTD 3.8 1.9
	Brazil	В	0 51 51 51	none G118R, E138K, V151I G118R, E138K T97A, N155H	71 42 35	2.0 ± 0.45 <b>19 ± 1.9</b> <b>23 ± 5.2</b> 3.3 ± 0.74	<b>9.5</b> <b>9.6</b> 1.4
	Kenya	A	0 96	none E138K, <b>Q148K</b>	25 47	2.4 ± 0.73 <b>58 ± 11</b>	24
e	Brazil	F	0 139	none T66I, <mark>G118R</mark> , E138A	33 13	3.2 ± 1.2 <b>55 ± 19</b>	17
	Kenya	А	0 144	none R263K	88 58	1.5 ± 0.28 <b>5.5 ± 0.92</b>	3.7
	USA	В	0 192	none L74M, <mark>G118R</mark>	53 11	2.5 ± 0.49 67 ± 5.9	27

<sup>a</sup> Replication capacity as % HIV-1<sub>NL4-3</sub>; <sup>b</sup> Mean ± SD; <sup>c</sup> Fold change; <sup>d</sup> Bold significant change (p<0.05) compared to EC<sub>50</sub> for week-0 clone; <sup>e</sup> UTD, unable to determine due to insufficient replication capacity

## **Summary**

- Viremia/virologic failure (VF) during DTG-ART was increased in participants w/ previous viremia/VF
- PDR was not associated with viremia/VF during DTG-ART
- Major DTG-resistance mutations detected at
  - High rate (24.5%) in a pediatric participants
  - Low rate (4.2%) in pregnant/breastfeeding participants
- DTG-resistance frequently selected within 12 months of DTG-ART
- Pattern of viremia (low plasma HIV RNA + ART-suppression) associated w/ DTG-resistance in children
- Phenotypic resistance concordant within two most frequent Major DTG-resistance mutations
  - G118R and R263K

## Conclusions

- Frequencies of VF (31%; 95% CI 25, 38) and DTG-resistance (24.5%; 95% CI 14, 38) in P1093 are greater than most other adult/pediatric cohorts in clinical trials
  - Likely due to patterns of non-adherence / viremia
  - Potentially due to length of study/follow-up
- Despite DTG's higher barrier to drug resistance vs. NNRTI-based ART
  - DTG-resistance can be selected ≤12 months; which has implications for continuing DTG despite viremia
  - DTG- based ART may need to be combined with tenofovir or other ARV with long t<sub>1/2</sub> to maximize barrier to resistance; which
    has implications for children

# **Acknowledgements**

#### **Frenkel Lab**

Lisa Frenkel Sheila Styrchak Marley Bishop Ingrid Beck Samantha Hardy

#### **Mullins Lab**

Jim Mullins Dylan Westfall Wenjie Deng Lennie Chen

#### **Gottlieb Lab**

Geoffrey Gottlieb Robert Smith Robbie Nixon

**UW Epidemiology** Stephen Hawes

#### UW/DREAM-SA

Paul Drain Richard Lessells Theresa Rossouw Lousie du Toit

#### **IMPAACT Study Participants**

#### IMPAACT P1093 Team

Theodore Ruel Andrew Wiznia Kevin Knowles Cindy Vavro Kathy George Rohan Hazra Ellen Townley

#### IMPAACT 2010 Team

Shahin Lockman Lameck Chinula Kevin Knowles Katie McCarthy Anne Coletti Patrick Jean-Philippe Nahida Chakhtoura

#### **IMPAACT SDAC**

Sean Brummel Lauren Ziemba Joel Zhang

#### UW/DREAM-Kenya

Carey Farquhar Loice Mbogo Betsy Sambai Margaret Ndegwa Paul Macharia David Bukusi Brandon Guthrie Aliza Monroe-Wise Josh Herbeck

UW/Opt-4-Studies Rena Patel Lisa Abuogi Garoma Wakjira Shukri Hassan Nashon Yongo Francesca Odhiambo

**Funding** R01 AI147309 T32 AI007509

NIH Grant Officer Keith Crawford





## W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

