

SAFETY AND PK OF LONG-ACTING CABOTEGRAVIR AND RILPIVIRINE IN ADOLESCENTS

Carolyn Bolton Moore¹, Edmund Capparelli², Katherine Calabrese³, Brookie M. Best², Shawn Ward⁴, Cindy McCoig⁵, Herta Crauwels⁶, Allison Agwu⁷, Pearl Samson⁴, Barbara Heckman⁴, Susan L. Ford⁸, Adeola Adeyeye⁹, Andres Camacho-Gonzalez¹⁰, Jack Moye¹¹, Aditya H. Gaur¹²

¹Centre for Infectious Disease Research in Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia, ²University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, United States, ³FHI 360, Durham, NC, United States, ⁴Frontier Science & Technology Research Foundation, Inc, Amherst, NY, United States, ⁵ViiV Healthcare, Collegeville, PA, United States, ⁶Janssen Research & Development, Beerse, Belgium, ⁷The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, ⁸GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, NC, United States, ⁹National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Baltimore, MD, United States, ¹⁰Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ¹¹National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD, United States, ¹²St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, United States

BACKGROUND

- Long-acting cabotegravir (CAB-LA) plus long-acting rilpivirine (RPV-LA) are approved for maintenance of viral suppression in adults with HIV-1 infection
- IMPAACT 2017 (MOCHA) is a phase I/II noncomparative, open-label study to confirm the dose and evaluate safety, tolerability, acceptability, and pharmacokinetics (PK) of oral (PO) CAB, CAB-LA, and RPV-LA in adolescents, ≥12 to <18 years, living with HIV-1

METHODS


- Virologically suppressed (<50 copies/mL)
 adolescents with HIV-1 on stable combination
 antiretroviral therapy (ART) were enrolled into Cohort
 1C (CAB) or Cohort 1R (RPV) based on background
 ART (background ART was continued throughout
 Cohort 1)
- Doses: 4 weeks of oral lead-in with CAB (30mg once daily) or RPV (25mg once daily), then CAB-LA (600mg/3mL at Week 4 and 400mg/2mL at Weeks 8 and 12) or RPV-LA (900mg/3mL at Week 4 and 600mg/2mL at Weeks 8 and 12) by gluteal intramuscular (IM) injection
- PK samples drawn at: Week 2 (oral dosing) and Weeks 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14 and 16 (LA dosing)


TABLE 1. Baseline Characteristics (All-Treated Population)

	Cohort 1C (N=8)	Cohort 1R	Total (N=23)						
Age in Years;	115 (125 170)	17.0 (15.0,	16.0						
Median (Q1,Q3)	14.5 (13.5, 17.0)	17.0)	(14.0,17.0)						
Age; n (%)									
12 years	1 (12.5%)	1 (6.7%)	2 (8.7%)						
13 years	1 (12.5%)	0	1 (4.3%)						
14 years	2 (25.0%)	2 (13.3%)	4 (17.4%)						
15 years	1 (12.5%)	1 (6.7%)	2 (8.7%)						
16 years	0	3 (20.0%)	3 (13.0%)						
17 years	3 (37.5%)	8 (53.3%)	11 (47.8%)						
Sex at Birth;	2 (25.0%) /	8 (53.3%) /	10 (43.5%) /						
F/M, n (%)	6 (75.0%)	7 (46.7%)	13 (56.5%)						
Race; n (%)									
Asian	1 (12.5%)	0	1 (4.3%)						
Black/ African	7 (87.5%)	11 (73.3%)	18 (78.3%)						
American	7 (07.370)	11 (73.370)							
White	0	4 (26.7%)	4 (17.4%)						
Ethnicity; n (%)									
Hispanic or	0	3 (20.0%)	3 (13.0%)						
Latino		3 (20.070)	3 (13.070)						
Weight in kg	F7 0 (44 0 - 74 7)	62.0 (54.0-75.0)	63 (47.7, 72.4)						
Median (Q1, Q3)	57.2 (44.2, 71.7)	03.0 (54.0, 75.0)							

Long-acting cabotegravir and rilpivirine, when given individually, in conjunction with background ART, were well tolerated in adolescents and achieved drug concentrations similar to those seen in adults.

Figure 1: Observed preliminary median (5th, 95th percentile) concentration-time data in adolescents (MOCHA) compared to pivotal Phase 3 Studies ATLAS and FLAIR in adults following oral lead in and 3 x monthly injections (CAB left panel, RPV right panel)





RESULTS

- Data per freeze date (10 Sept 2021): 23 participants enrolled (Table 1)
 - Cohort 1R: two premature treatment discontinuations; one due to hypersensitivity (after 1st oral dose, no systemic symptoms) and one due to pain with needle insertion prior to receiving first IM injection
- All participants to date were virally suppressed at Week 16 (Table 2)
- Injection site reactions were grade 1 or 2; none led to treatment discontinuation. One Grade 3 drug-related AE per cohort (Table 3 1C: insomnia [day 41], 1R: hypersensitivity [day 1; led to study withdrawal])
- Median (range) PK parameters met study targets with Q4 dosing (Figures 1 and 2)
 - Cohort 1C: Week 2 Oral CAB AUC_{0-τ}: 160 (94.3-325) mcg*h/mL (target median 46-277)
 Week 16 IM CAB trough: 3.11 (range 1.22-6.19) mcg/mL (target median 0.71-6.7)
 - Cohort 1R: Week 16 IM RPV trough: 52.9 (range 31.9-148) ng/mL (target median 25-100)

Table 2: Viral Suppression through Week 16 (All-Treated Population)

	HIV-1 RNA <50	HIV-1 RNA ≥50	
Analysis Visit	copies/mL; n (%)	copies/mL; n (%)	Total; n (%)
Cohort 1C (N=8)			
Baseline	8 (100.0)	0	8 (100.0)
Week 2	8 (100.0)	0	8 (100.0)
Week 4b	8 (100.0)	0	8 (100.0)
Week 8	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	8 (100.0)
Week 12	8 (100.0)	0	8 (100.0)
Week 16	7 (100.0)	0	7 (100.0)
Cohort 1R (N=15)			
Baseline	14 (93.3)	1 (6.7)	15 (100.0)
Week 2	14 (100.0)	0	14 (100.0)
Week 4b	13 (92.9)	1 (7.1)	14 (100.0)
Week 8	13 (100.0)	0	13 (100.0)
Week 12	13 (100.0)	0	13 (100.0)
Week 16	13 (100.0)	0	13 (100.0)

Table 3: Drug-related Adverse Events through Week 16 (Evaluable Population)

System Organ Class	Cohort 1C (N=8)			Cohort 1R (N=13)					
Grade*; n (%)	1	2	3	1	2	3			
Number of participants with									
≥ one adverse events	1 (12.5)	3 (37.5)	1 (12.5)	5 (38.5)	3 (23.1)	1 (7.7)			
Diarrhoea	1 (12.5)	0	0	0	0	0			
Nausea	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
General disorders	2 (25.0)	3 (37.5)	0	5 (38.5)	3 (23.1)	0			
Injection site hypoaesthesia	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
Injection site nodule	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
Injection site pain	2 (25.0)	3 (37.5)	0	5 (38.5)	3 (23.1)	0			
Injection site swelling	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
Immune system disorders	0	0	0	0	0	1 (7.7)			
Drug hypersensitivity	0	0	0	0	0	1 (7.7)			
Decreased appetite	1 (12.5)	0	0	0	0	0			
Dizziness	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
Headache	1 (12.5)	0	0	0	0	0			
Insomnia	0	0	1 (12.5)	1 (7.7)	0	0			
Papular rash	0	0	0	1 (7.7)	0	0			
*Grade 1 = Mild, 2 = Moderate, 3 = Severe, 4 = Potentially Life-Threatening, 5 = Death; No Grade 4 or 5 AEs were reported in either Cohort.									

CONCLUSIONS

- IM administration of CAB-LA or RPV-LA in adolescents achieved target exposure concentrations consistent with predictions and comparable to those observed in adults receiving monthly intra-muscular dose regimens.
- No new or unanticipated safety concerns were identified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the following individuals for their contributions towards the study and abstract: Mark Marzinke, Elizabeth Lowenthal, Jared Kneebone, Jenny Huang, Michael Whitton, Sarah Buisson, and the site Pls. We would also like to acknowledge all participants, their families, the study staff at the many sites, and the study sponsors DAIDS, and our supporting partners ViiV and Janssen.