

Conrado Milani Coutinho¹, Meredith Warshaw², Geraldo Duarte¹, Alice Stek³, Avy Violari⁴, Cristina Barroso Hofer⁵, Jaime Deville⁶, James Samwel Ngocho⁷, José Pilotto⁸, Mario Dias Correa Jr⁹, Trevon Fuller⁶, Nahida Chakhtoura¹⁰, Mark Mirochnick¹¹, Esaú João¹², for the NICHD P1081 study team. ¹University of São Paulo at Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil, ²Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States, ³University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ⁴University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, ⁵University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States, ⁷Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre, Moshi, Tanzania, ⁸Hospital Geral de Nova Iguaçu, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁹Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, ⁹Universidade Federal, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, ⁹Universidade, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, ⁹U United States, ¹¹Boston University, Boston, MA, United States, ¹²Hospital Federal dos Servidores do Estado, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Health and Human

Development

BACKGROUND

- Gestational weight (wt) changes can affect pregnar outcomes
- Integrase inhibitor use is associated with excessive gain and adverse metabolic effects in non-pregn adults
- Wt gain with use of raltegravir (RAL) during pregnar has not been described
- NICHD P1081: Phase IV randomized trial compar virologic response with use of RAL vs efavirenz (EFV) antiretroviral naïve pregnant women living with HIV
- Post-hoc exploratory analyses objectives: (1) to compare antepartum changes in wt and body mass index (Bl between arms; (2) to examine associations between gain and adverse pregnancy outcomes (APO)

METHODS

- Population: 281 women with singleton pregnancies, 31 gestational weeks, on study for \geq 4 weeks
- Wt/BMI analyzed as rate of change per week from entry to last measure prior to delivery (median rates on EFV vs RAL compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests)
- Low rate of wt gain defined as <0.18 kg/wk, normal rate between 0.18-0.58 kg/wk and high rate defined as ≥0.59 kg/wk (frequencies on EFV vs RAL compared using Fisher's exact test)



Figure 1. Antepartum rate of BMI change by treatment arm.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- (IMPAACT LC). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

NICHD P1081: WEIGHT GAIN WITH RALTEGRAVIR VS EFAVIRENZ DURING PREGNANCY

ncy	ARV-naïve pregnant w
e wt	On RAL were less like
nant	EFV to have low rate
ncy	more likely to have h
ring ′) in	gain
are	With low versus norr
BMI)	were significantly mo
IVVL	infants and meet the
20-	pregnancy outcome
•	Association between wt gain category and APO (s

(stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm delivery <37 and <34 weeks, small and large for GA [SGA and LGA], or a composite APO) (Exact logistic regression analyses)

Analyses used 2-sided 5% significance level

Table. Association of rate of antenatal weight gain with birth outcomes.

	N (column %) with outcome			Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)			
	Low rate	Normal rate	High rate	Low vs. normal rate		High vs. normal rate	•
Birth Outcome	(N=76)	(N=158)	(N=47)	of weight gain	p-value	of weight gain	p-value
Composite outcome**	32 (44%)	35 (22%)	13 (28%)	2.7 (1.4, 5.2)	0.002	1.3 (0.6, 3.0)	0.55
Stillbirth	3 (4%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	8.2 (1.2, >999.9)	0.07	N/A	N/A*
Neonatal death	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	2.1 (0.0, 165.3)	>0.99	N/A	N/A*
Preterm <37 weeks	7 (10%)	15 (9%)	7 (15%)	1.1 (0.4, 3.0)	>0.99	1.7 (0.5, 4.7)	0.43
Preterm <34 weeks	2 (3%)	3 (2%)	4 (9%)	1.5 (0.1, 13.8)	0.96	4.8 (0.8, 33.7)	0.10
Small for gestational age	21 (30%)	20 (13%)	7 (15%)	3.0 (1.4, 6.4)	0.003	1.2 (0.4, 3.2)	0.85
Large for gestational age	4 (6%)	16 (10%)	2 (4%)	0.5 (0.1, 1.8)	0.43	0.4 (0.0, 1.8)	0.34

* N/A: Not available (odds ratios and confidence intervals could not be estimated well due to very small numbers of events) ** Composite includes the occurrence of any of: stillbirth, neonatal death, preterm (<37 weeks) or small for gestational age (<10th percentile)

• Research Collaborator: David E. Shapiro, Center for Biostatistics in AIDS Research, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. • Overall funding and support from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) through contract HHSN2752018000011, with co-funding and regulatory support from the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH), under Award Numbers UM1AI068632 (IMPAACT LOC), UM1AI068616 (IMPAACT SDMC) and UM1AI106716

omen: kely than those on es of weight gain and nigh rates of weight

mal rate of weight gain ore likely to have SGA composite adverse

> CONCLUSIONS Low rate of wt gain in this study was associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes and was less likely with RAL based treatment

RESULTS

 Baseline characteristics were similar between EFV (N=137) and RAL (N=144) groups

 RAL-based ARV regimen was associated with significantly higher antepartum rate of wt gain (median 0.36 kg/wk vs. 0.29 kg/wk, p=0.01) and BMI increase (median 0.14 kg/m²/wk vs. 0.11 kg/m²/wk, p=0.01) compared to EFV-based treatment

Women on RAL were less likely to have low rate of wt gain (18% vs. 36%) and more likely to have high rate of wt gain (21% vs. 12%) (p=0.001)

• Women with low rate of wt gain were significantly more likely to have SGA infants or to have composite APO than women with normal rate of wt gain (Table)

• There were no significant differences in rates of APO between women with high versus normal rate of wt gain



Figure 2. Antepartum rate of weight change by treatment arm.

