



Tichaona Vhembo¹, Kristin Baltrusaitis², Camlin Tierney², Maxensia Owor³, Sufia Dadabhai⁴, AvyViolari⁵, Gerhard Theron⁶, Dhayendre Moodley⁷, Cynthia Mukwasi-Kahari⁸, Kathleen George⁹, John Shepherd¹⁰, George K. Siberry¹¹, Renee Browning¹², Mary G. Fowler¹³, Lynda Stranix-Chibanda¹⁴ ¹University of Zimbabwe Clinical Trials Research Centre, Harare, Zimbabwe, ²Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States, ³MUJHU, Kampala, Uganda, ⁴Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Blantyre, Malawi, ⁵PHRU, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, ⁶Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa, ⁷CAPRISA and University of KwaZulu Natal, Durban, South Africa, ⁸University of Zimbabwe Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Harare, Zimbabwe, ⁹FHI 360, Durham, NC, United States, ¹⁰University of Hawaii Cancer Centre, Honolulu, HI, ¹¹USA United States Agency for International Development, Washington, DC, United States, ¹²National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, MD, United States, ¹³The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, United States, ¹⁴University of Zimbabwe Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences / UZ-CTRC, Harare, Zimbabwe

BACKGROUND

- Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is an important agent for antiretroviral treatment (ART) and prevention of HIV in breastfeeding women, but information about the impact of postpartum use on infant bone and renal safety is limited.
- The IMPAACT PROMISE P1084s substudy [NCT01066858] assessed these outcomes in a subset of mother-infant pairs TDF-based to either randomized maternal [TDF/FTC+LPV/r] (mART) or infant nevirapine prophylaxis [no maternal ART] (iNVP) during breastfeeding.

METHODS

- Healthy pairs with normal maternal renal function and no antenatal exposure to maternal TDF who were randomized in PROMISE 1:1 to mART or iNVP at 6-14 days postpartum were eligible for the P1084s substudy.
- Most pairs were enrolled in P1084s on randomization day and followed through Week 74.
- Infant lumbar spine bone mineral content (LS-BMC) was assessed at entry and Week 26 by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry, read centrally by blinded investigators.
- Infant creatinine clearance (CrCl) was calculated using the revised Schwartz equation at entry and Weeks 10, 26, and 74.
- Student t-tests compared mean LS-BMC and CrCl at Week 26 and mean change from entry between arms. All differences are presented as mART – iNVP.

| Characteristic at entry | Statstic | mART | iNVP | Total |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Sex [N=398] | Male/Female | 100/100 | 100/98 | 200/198 |
| LS-BMC (g) [N=364] | Median (25 th , 75 th) | 1.65 (1.45, 1.90) | 1.69 (1.44, 1.89) | 1.67 (1.45, 1.90) |
| Calculated CrCl (mL/min per 1.73 m2) [N=357] | Median (25th, 75th) | 62.0 (49.8, 77.8) | 57.8 (48.6, 68.8) | 59.0 (49.6, 72.7) |
| Calcium at entry (mg/dL) [N=367] | Median (25 th , 75 th) | 10.20 (9.76, 10.68) | 10.20 (9.60, 10.68) | 10.20 (9.70, 10.68) |
| Phosphate at entry (mg/dL)[N=377] | Median (25 th , 75 th) | 6.20 (5.60, 6.80) | 6.30 (5.60, 6.90) | 6.20 (5.60, 6.87) |
| | | | | |

Figure 1: Infant Baseline Characteristics

For more information, visit **impaactnetwork.org** and follow us:

Facebook: IMPAACTNetwork | Twitter: @IMPAACTNetwork | LinkedIn: IMPAACTNetwork

ASSOCIATION OF MATERNAL TDF-BASED ART WITH BONE MINERAL CONTENT IN BREASTFED INFANTS



Overall support for the International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials Network (IMPAACT) was provided by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) with co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), all components of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), under Award Numbers UM1AI068632-15 (IMPAACT LOC), UM1AI068616-15 (IMPAACT SDMC) and UM1AI106716-09 (IMPAACT LC), and by NICHD contract number HHSN275201800001I. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH, USAID or US Government.

Mean lumbar spine bone mineral content at Week 26 was lower in infants exposed to postnatal TDF containing maternal ART vs. infant nevirapine prophylaxis.

400 pairs were enrolled; 2 mART pairs excluded because the mothers did not initiate TDF-based

At entry [Figure 1], mean (standard deviation (sd)) infant LS-BMC was 1.68g (0.35) and CrCl was

• At Week 26, 98% pairs were breastfeeding and 96% were on their assigned antiretroviral strategy. Mean (sd) Week 26 LS-BMC was 2.64g (0.48) for mART and 2.77g (0.44) for iNVP; mean difference (95% confidence interval (CI)) -0.13g (-0.22, -0.04), P=0.007, n = 375/398 (94%) [Figure 2]. Mean absolute (-0.14g (-0.23,-0.06)) and percent change (-10.88% (-18.53, -3.23)) in LS-BMC from entry was smaller for mART than iNVP. Similar results were observed in post hoc analyses of bone mineral

At Week 26, mean (sd) CrCl was 130.0mL/min per 1.73 m² (34.9) for mART vs. 126.1mL/min per 1.73 m^2 (30.0) for iNVP; mean difference (95% CI) 3.8 (-3.0, 10.7), P=0.27, n = 349/398 (88%).



The IMPAACT PROMISE Protocol Team gratefully acknowledges the dedication and commitment of the 400 mother-infant pairs that participated in this substudy, their communities, and CAB representatives, without whom this study would not have been possible. The authors also wish to acknowledge the IMPAACT PROMISE Protocol team, NIAID, NICHD, and NIMH, and the four IMPAACT sites and staff. The study products were provided free of charge by Abbott, Gilead Sciences, Boehringer Ingelheim, and GlaxoSmithKline.



Maternal TDF-ART (mART) Infant Nevirapine Prophylaxis (iNVP)

Figure 3: Infant Calculated Creatinine Clearance from Entry, Excluding Outliers

On average, CrCL increased from entry across all visits in both study arms. Renal safety was reassuring in both arms.

CONCLUSIONS

Although the mean LS-BMC at Week 26 was lower in breastfeeding infants with TDF containing mART compared with iNVP, the difference was less than a half sd (~0.23g), thus clinical relevance is unlikely.

• No infant renal safety concerns were observed

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

